UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,725	11/10/2003	Mark Faust	14485.0154US01	5023
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 05/27/200 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903	3		KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/705,725	FAUST ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CHRISTOPHER D. KOHARSKI	3763		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be son.  Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-22 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,12-14 and 17-22 is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration. ed.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the other drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Someotion is required if the drawing(s) is considerated.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/2008.				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,725 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Acknowledgements**

The Examiner acknowledges the reply filed 2/16/2009 in which claims 1, 9, 15, 17 and 22 were amended. Currently claims 1-9, 12-22 are pending for examination in this application.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) that was submitted on 11/14/2008 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mogensen et al. (USPN6,830,562) in view of Begley (USPN4,805,791).

Regarding claims 15-16 Mogensen et al. discloses a device (Figures 1-4, element 28) for inserting a subcutaneous infusion device into skin of a patient, comprising: a housing (28); a needle (12A) coupled to the housing (28) for receiving a cannula (26) of a subcutaneous infusion device (14); and a cap (94) coupled to the housing; wherein the needle (12A) is inserted through the cannula (26) of the subcutaneous infusion device and is introduced into the skin of the patient to insert the cannula into the skin; and wherein the cap (94) is coupled to the housing prior to use to create a sterile environment (Figure 1), and wherein the cap is coupled to the housing after use to protect against exposure to the needle (Figure 4).

Mogensen et al. meets the claim limitations as described above except for the tamper evident cap.

However, Begley teaches band with lock ring and tamper evident cap.

Regarding claims 15-16, Begley teaches a cap (Figure 1, element 10) including a tamper-evident band (14) that is coupled to the cap (16) by a plurality of tabs (bridges near 14) extending about the taper-evident band, wherein the tabs break when the cap is removed from the housing, and wherein the tamper-evident band remains coupled to the housing when the cap is removed from the housing (col 2, ln 1-25).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the tamper evident cap of Begley to the system of Mogensen et al. to maintain sterility of the device and prevent tampering and reuse of the device. The references are analogous in the art and with the instant invention; therefore, a combination is proper. Therefore, one

skilled in the art would have combined the teachings in the references in light of the disclosure of Begley (col 1).

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9, 12-14 and 17-22 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,725 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 2:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Date: 5/26/2009

/Christopher D Koharski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763